

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/976,389	10/12/2001	Joseph P. Smith	RAY-120J 2781		
7	590 09/20/2002				
Iandiorio & Teska 260 Bear Hill Road Waltham, MA 02451-1018			EXAMINER		
			CHAMBERS, TROY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3641		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 1

		- :	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		**	09/976,389	SMITH ET AL				
	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	:			
انتر	•		Troy Chambers	3641				
<u> </u>	- The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion app			address			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	b patent term adjustment. See or Control (4)	74 1						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed							
2a)			is action is non-final.		•			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
•	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the app	plication						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	***						
•—	Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-20 and 23-31</u> is/are rej	jected.		· ·	•			
	Claim(s) <u>10,11,21 and 22</u> is/are objecte	٠.						
,	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio		r election requirement.					
	on Papers	•		.· · · · ·				
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the E	xamine	r. Angle					
10) 🔲 🧻	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	* * *	7.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) 🔲 🖥	The proposed drawing correction filed o			disapproved by the Exa	iminer.			
_	If approved, corrected drawings are requi							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Ex	aminer.		•			
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			2 (12 () () ()	•			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority do		•	·				
	2. Certified copies of the priority do		· ·					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of	ional Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	:	inal Stage			
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisi	onal application).			
a 15)⊟ <i>A</i>)	uage pro domest	ovisional application has b ic priority under 35 U.S.C	peen received §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachmen		• .						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape		, 	Summary (PTO-413) Pape Informal Patent Application				
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		Office A	ction Summary	1	Part of Paper No. 5			
•		•						

Application/Control Number: 09/976,389

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 12, 15-20, 23 and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Defense Systems Daily ("DSD"). Specifically, refer to page 2, paragraph 6 for relevant disclosure. A supporting article (Defense Procurement Agency ("DPA")) provides pictorial support for the three-rail launcher disclosed in DSD.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 13, 14, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the DSD and DPA articles referenced above. The articles disclose a three-rail launcher platform. But, the article does not disclose the composition of said platform. However, the selection of aluminum or a composite as the material of choice is well within the knowledge of one with ordinary skill in the art and amounts to an obvious engineering decision. At the time of the invention, the selection of such

Application/Control Number: 09/976,389 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

material would have been obvious to provide increased strength and decreased weight characteristics to the platform.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10, 11, 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-7687.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER